ATTACHMENT 2: AGENCY SCOPING MEETING
AGENCY INVITATION LETTER
SEPA DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (DS) AND REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON SCOPE OF SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (SEPA EIS) AND NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (NEPA EA)

Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (Sea-Tac Airport) Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)

The Port of Seattle is initiating an environmental review process for the Near-Term Project improvements proposed as part of the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP). The SAMP includes Near-Term Projects for Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (Sea-Tac) that are planned for construction by 2027 that are the focus of this environmental review, which is being conducted to comply with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The SAMP also includes a Long-Term Vision for Sea-Tac which includes projects that are not ripe for environmental review at this time, as they require further study and are not reasonably foreseeable. Before any of the Long-Term Vision projects are implemented, the appropriate environmental review process will be conducted. The Near-Term Projects (the Proposed Action) are the subject of a phased environmental review process to comply with SEPA. The Port and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) anticipate conducting additional environmental review in the future regarding the Long-Term Vision projects identified in the SAMP.

The SAMP identifies facilities and roadway improvements that are needed to serve expected growth in passengers, aircraft operations and cargo activity through 2027. Approximately 30 projects at the Airport are needed to enhance efficiency, accommodate projected growth in activity, and alleviate congestion on the airfield, in the terminal, and on the roadway system.

Key elements of the Proposed Action include construction of a second terminal, a centralized maintenance campus, off-airport cargo handling facilities, realignment of airport roadways, and expansion of the fueling facilities. The Proposed Action would accommodate up to approximately 477,000 annual aircraft operations and 56 million annual passengers.

SEPA EIS Required. The Port of Seattle, as lead agency, acknowledges that there is public interest and concern about the potential environmental impacts of implementing the Proposed Action. Based on that level of concern and the potential for impacts that may occur with the implementation of the Proposed Action, the Port of Seattle has determined that a SEPA EIS will be prepared.

NEPA EA. The FAA will serve as the lead federal agency for the preparation of an Environmental Assessment to comply with NEPA. The NEPA regulations adopted by the Council for Environmental Quality (CEQ), 40 CFR § 1500 et seq., together with FAA Order 1050.1F, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures, and FAA Order 5050.4B, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions, will guide the preparation of the NEPA Environmental Assessment (NEPA EA).
The lead agencies (FAA for NEPA and Port of Seattle for SEPA) have identified the following areas for discussion in the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS. In accordance with NEPA and SEPA, the environmental review will consider the potential effects of the Proposed Action and a range of alternatives on each of the environmental factors below.

**SEPA Elements of the Environment**

- **Earth**
  - Geology
  - Soils
  - Topography
  - Unique physical features
  - Erosion/enlargement of land area
- **Air**
  - Air quality
  - Odor
  - Climate
- **Water**
  - Surface water movement/quantity/quality
  - Runoff/absorption
  - Floods
  - Groundwater movement/quantity/quality
  - Public water supplies
- **Plants and animals**
  - Habitat for and numbers or diversity of species of plants, fish, or other wildlife
  - Unique species
  - Fish or wildlife migration routes
- **Energy and natural resources**
  - Amount required/rate of use/efficiency
  - Source/availability
  - Nonrenewable resources
  - Conservation and renewable resources
  - Scenic resources
- **Environmental health**
  - Noise
  - Risk of explosion
  - Releases or potential releases to the environment affecting public health, such as toxic or hazardous materials
- **Land and shoreline use**
  - Relationship to existing land use plans and to estimated population
  - Housing
  - Light and glare
  - Aesthetics
  - Recreation
  - Historic and cultural preservation
  - Agricultural crops
- **Transportation**
  - Transportation systems
  - Vehicular traffic
  - Waterborne, rail, and air traffic
  - Parking
  - Movement/circulation of people or goods
  - Traffic hazards
- **Public services and utilities**
  - Fire
  - Police
  - Schools
  - Parks or other recreational facilities
  - Maintenance
  - Communications
  - Water/stormwater
  - Sewer/solid waste
NEPA Resource Categories

- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Climate
- Coastal Resources
- Department of Transportation (DOT) Section 4 (f)
- Farmlands
- Hazardous Materials, Solid Waste, and Pollution Prevention
- Historical, Architectural, Archeological, and Cultural Resources
- Land Use
- Natural Resources and Energy Supply
- Noise and Noise-Compatible Land Use
- Socioeconomics, Environmental Justice, and Children’s Environmental Health and Safety Risks
- Visual Effects
- Water Resources
  - Wetlands
  - Floodplains
  - Surface Waters
  - Groundwater
  - Wild and Scenic Rivers

Scoping. Scoping is an early and open process for determining the scope of issues that will be addressed in the environmental review document, soliciting input regarding the Proposed Action and reasonable alternatives, and for identifying concerns regarding the potential environmental effects of the Proposed Action. Comments received during Scoping will be reviewed and taken into consideration during the preparation of the NEPA and SEPA analyses.

Agencies, potentially affected tribes, and members of the public are invited to comment on the scope of the SEPA EIS/NEPA EA. A Scoping Information Packet has been prepared and is available at the website listed below. You may comment on the resource categories/elements of the environment that will be evaluated, alternatives to the proposed action, and licenses, permits, or other approvals that may be required.

It is requested that all comments include your name and address. Before including your name, address, telephone number, email or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information - may be made publicly available at any time. Comments may be submitted via:

- SAMP Environmental Review website (Click “Participate”):
  www.SAMPenvironmentalreview.org

- Email: SAMP@portseattle.org

- Regular mail to: Mr. Steve Rybolt
  Port of Seattle
  Aviation Environment and Sustainability
  P.O. Box 68727
  Seattle, WA 98168

All comments must be submitted or postmarked by September 28, 2018.
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In addition to submitting comments on the website, or via email or regular mail, you may also submit comments in person at one of the scoping meetings listed below.

Scoping meetings for the public will be held:

- September 10, 2018
  City of Des Moines
  5:30 PM – 8:30 PM
  Highline College Student Union

- September 17, 2018
  City of Federal Way
  5:30 PM – 8:30 PM
  Federal Way Community Center

- September 12, 2018
  City of Seattle (Beacon Hill)
  5:30 PM – 8:30 PM
  New Holly Gathering Hall

- September 19, 2018
  City of SeaTac
  5:30 PM – 8:30 PM
  SeaTac Community Center

A scoping meeting for government agencies will be held:

- September 6, 2018
  Sea-Tac Airport
  1:00 PM – 4:00 PM
  Central Auditorium

Tribes. FAA will conduct Government to Government Consultation with the potentially affected Tribes as part of this environmental review process.

At the scoping meetings information regarding the Proposed Action and the environmental review process will be available. For more information or for those unable to attend a Public Scoping Meeting, the same information will be available on the Port of Seattle’s website: www.SAMPenvironmentalreview.org.

Responsible Official: Ms. Arlyn Purcell
Port of Seattle
Director, Aviation Environment and Sustainability
(206) 787-6527
P.O. Box 68727
Seattle, WA 98168

July 30, 2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Room No.</th>
<th>City, State</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Allnutt</td>
<td>U.S. EPA – Region 10</td>
<td>1200 6th Avenue</td>
<td>Suite 900</td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Rickerson, State Supervisor</td>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>510 Desmond Drive SE</td>
<td>Suite 102</td>
<td>Lacey, WA</td>
<td>98503-1263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Thom, Regional Administrator</td>
<td>NOAA Fisheries, West Coast Region</td>
<td>7600 Sand Point Way NE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rory Lee, Regulatory Contact</td>
<td>Corps of Engineers, Seattle District</td>
<td>4735 E. Marginal Way S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacalen Printz Regulatory Branch Section Chief</td>
<td>US Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>P.O. Box 3755</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98124-3755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsey Handel, Urban Area Engineer</td>
<td>U.S. Federal Highway Administration</td>
<td>711 S. Capitol Way</td>
<td>Suite 501</td>
<td>Olympia, WA</td>
<td>98501-1284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Changchien, Director of Planning and Program Management</td>
<td>U.S. Federal Transit Administration</td>
<td>915 Second Avenue</td>
<td>Suite 3142</td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98174-1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Loper, Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 10</td>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)</td>
<td>130 – 228th Street SW</td>
<td>Federal Regional Center</td>
<td>Bothell, WA</td>
<td>98201-8627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Cross, Chair</td>
<td>Muckleshoot Indian Tribe</td>
<td>39015 172nd Avenue SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Auburn, WA</td>
<td>98002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard Forsman, Chair</td>
<td>The Suquamish Tribe</td>
<td>P.O. Box 498</td>
<td></td>
<td>Suquamish, WA</td>
<td>98392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Sterud, Chair</td>
<td>The Puyallup Indian Tribe</td>
<td>3009 E. Portal Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tacoma, WA</td>
<td>98404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JoDe Goudy, Tribal Council Chair</td>
<td>The Yakama Nation</td>
<td>401 Fort Road</td>
<td>P.O. Box 151</td>
<td>Toppenish, WA</td>
<td>98948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron Kukes, Northwest Region Environmental Programs Manager</td>
<td>Washington State Department of Transportation</td>
<td>15700 Dayton Avenue N</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shoreline, WA</td>
<td>98133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bobb Nolan</td>
<td>Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office</td>
<td>3190 160th Avenue S.E.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bellevue, WA</td>
<td>98008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Ecology</td>
<td>SEPA Unit</td>
<td>P.O Box 47703</td>
<td></td>
<td>Olympia, WA</td>
<td>98504-7703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
<td>SEPA Desk</td>
<td>P.O. Box 43200</td>
<td></td>
<td>Olympia, WA</td>
<td>98504-3155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gretchen Kaehler</td>
<td>Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation</td>
<td>1063 S. Capitol Way</td>
<td>Suite 106 P.O. Box 48343</td>
<td>Olympia, WA</td>
<td>98504-8343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Bothein</td>
<td>King County DEPR Permitting</td>
<td>35030 SE Douglas Street</td>
<td>Suite 210</td>
<td>Snoqualmie, WA</td>
<td>98065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Abbasi</td>
<td>King County Industrial Waste Program</td>
<td>201 S. Jackson St.</td>
<td>Room 513</td>
<td>Seattle WA</td>
<td>98104-3855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peggy Rice</td>
<td>King County Industrial Waste Program</td>
<td>201 S. Jackson St., Room 513</td>
<td>Room 513</td>
<td>Seattle WA</td>
<td>98104-3855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen Larrabee, Resource Management Manager</td>
<td>Pierce County</td>
<td>930 Tacoma Avenue S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tacoma, WA</td>
<td>98402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barb Mock, Director Planning and Development Services</td>
<td>Snohomish County</td>
<td>3000 Rockefeller Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Everett, WA</td>
<td>98201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Heacock</td>
<td>Kitsap County Community Development</td>
<td>619 Division Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>Port Orchard, WA</td>
<td>98366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol V. Helland, Land Use Division Director</td>
<td>City of Bellevue</td>
<td>450 110th Avenue NE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bellevue, WA</td>
<td>98004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip Davis, Director Community Development Department</td>
<td>City of Burien</td>
<td>400 SW 152nd Street</td>
<td>Suite 300</td>
<td>Burien, WA</td>
<td>98166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Cezar, Chief Strategic Officer</td>
<td>City of Des Moines</td>
<td>21630 11th Avenue S.</td>
<td>Suite A</td>
<td>Des Moines, WA</td>
<td>98198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert “Doc” Hansen</td>
<td>City of Federal Way</td>
<td>33325 8th Avenue S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal Way, WA</td>
<td>98030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlene Anderson</td>
<td>City of Kent</td>
<td>220 Fourth Avenue S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent, WA</td>
<td>98023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad Tibbits, Senior Planner</td>
<td>City of Normandy Park</td>
<td>801 SW 174th Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normandy Park, WA</td>
<td>98166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Henning, Planning Director</td>
<td>City of Renton</td>
<td>Department of Community &amp; Economic Development, Planning Division</td>
<td>1055 South Grady Way</td>
<td>Renton, WA</td>
<td>98057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josheph Scorcio, City Manager</td>
<td>City of SeaTac</td>
<td>4800 South 188th Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>SeaTac, WA</td>
<td>98188-8605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Haines, Land Use Team Manager</td>
<td>City of Seattle Land Use Division</td>
<td>700 Fifth Avenue</td>
<td>Suite 2000</td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98124-4019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Schultz</td>
<td>City of Tacoma Planning and Development Services</td>
<td>747 Market Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tacoma, WA</td>
<td>98402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Tukwila</td>
<td>Department of Community Development</td>
<td>6300 Southcenter Blvd.</td>
<td>No. 100</td>
<td>Tukwila, WA</td>
<td>98188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erika Harris, Senior Planner</td>
<td>Puget Sound Regional Council</td>
<td>1011 Western Avenue</td>
<td>Suite 500</td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carole Cenci, Compliance Manager</td>
<td>Puget Sound Clean Air Agency</td>
<td>1904 Third Avenue</td>
<td>Suite 105</td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Billen, Executive Director of Planning, Environment and Project Development</td>
<td>Sound Transit</td>
<td>401 S. Jackson Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>98104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENCY SCOPING PRESENTATION
SAMP Near-Term Projects Environmental Review Agency Scoping Meeting

September 6, 2018
Regional Growth and Market Demand

Robust regional economy drive Airport demand and sustainable practices

Puget Sound is home to a wide range of employers that contribute to the vibrant growth in the region.

- T-Mobile
- Weyerhaeuser
- University of Washington
- Boeing
- Microsoft
- Costco
- Boeing Wholesale
- Amazon
- Nintendo
- Paccar
- Nordstrom
- Starbucks
- Expedia
Puget Sound Demographics

KING COUNTY EDUCATION LEVELS, 2016

Bachelor’s Degree or Higher: 46%
Some College or Associate’s Degree: 29%
High School or GED: 17%
Less Than High School: 8%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

U.S EDUCATION LEVELS, 2016

Bachelor’s Degree or Higher: 28%
Some College or Associate’s Degree: 31%
High School or GED: 28%
Less Than High School: 13%

% CHANGE IN POPULATION


PER CAPITA INCOME

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Sea-Tac Airport Growth

- **2017**: 4.06 Million Population, 46.9 Million Total Passengers
  - 2017: 416,000 total air operations

- **2027**: 4.7 Million Population, 66 Million Total Passengers
  - 2027: 480,000 total air operations

- **2034**: 4.7 Million Population, 66 Million Total Passengers
  - 2034: 540,000 total air operations
How to Serve Market Demand

Three Concurrent Strategies

Current Projects (46.9M Passengers Today)
- North Satellite
- International Arrivals Facility
- Concourse D Hardstand Holdroom
- Baggage Modernization
- Airport dining and retail Development

SAMP Near-Term Projects (56M Passengers by 2027)
- Meet market demand
- 19 additional gates & second terminal
- Cargo facilities
- Projects to improve safety, provide support facilities, improve efficiency, and access to the airport.

Long-Term Vision SAMP (Demand Beyond 2027)
- PSRC regional aviation baseline study
- Sea-Tac Airport airfield and airspace study
- Additional environmental review

Scoping is for SAMP NTP environmental review
Proposed SAMP Near-Term Projects

Purpose: Meet Forecasted Passenger Demand

A new Second Terminal would be constructed to provide the necessary facilities to meet the projected passenger demand at the Airport. The Second Terminal would include the following Primary Elements, Connected Actions, and Similar Actions:

- **New and modified Airport roadways** to access the new Second Terminal (L03 – Second Terminal Roads & Curbside)
- **New parking garage and passenger terminal facilities** for passenger check-in; passenger and baggage screening; airline offices, baggage conveyance and claim; concessions; and restrooms (T02 – Second Terminal & Parking)
- **New terminal concourse** including typical functions such as baggage handling; passenger holdrooms, concessions, restrooms, etc.; office space; and walkway to the passenger terminal walkway (T01 – North Gates)
- **New elevated busway** to provide a way for passengers to transfer among the Main Terminal, New Second Terminal, and Rental Car Facility (L02 – Elevated Busway & Stations)
- **New aircraft parking positions** for aircraft waiting to park at a passenger gate, aircraft needing parking overnight, or remote aircraft gates where passengers are bussed to the airplane (A05 – North Hold Pad & A09 – Hardstand (central))
- **Relocation of the southbound lanes of the North Airport Expressway** to clear the site for construction of A09 Hardstand (central) and T01 North Gates (L01 – North Airport Expressway (NAE) Relocation (southbound lanes)). The southbound lanes would also be widened near the terminal to alleviate congestion.
Proposed SAMP Near-Term Projects

Purpose: Meet Forecasted Passenger Demand

A new Second Terminal would be constructed to provide the necessary facilities to meet the projected passenger demand at the Airport. The Second Terminal would include the following Primary Elements, Connected Actions, and Similar Actions:

- **Construction of a new ground transportation lot** on Port property north of State Route (SR) 518 to accommodate increased demand and replace the S 160th St. parking lot displaced by the L02 - Elevated Busway (L05 – North Ground Transportation Lot)

- **Relocation of the Primary Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting (ARFF) station** to clear the site for the new passenger concourse T01 North Gates (S02 – Primary Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting (ARFF) station & S03 – Secondary ARFF). The new ARFF would be larger than the existing ARFF to accommodate additional personnel.

- **Relocation of the fuel rack** from its current location in the Cargo 6 area to clear the site for construction of the new passenger concourse T01 North Gates (S04 – Fuel Rack Relocation).

- In an effort to consolidate storage of aircraft deicing fluid and to clear a site for the construction of the new passenger concourse T01 North Gates, **sets of deicing fluid tanks are proposed on both the north and south end of the airfield** (S06 – Consolidated De-icing Fluid Storage Tanks)

- **Expansion of the existing north ground transportation lot** to accommodate increased demand for charter and cruise passenger buses (L04 – Main Terminal North Ground Transportation Lot)
Proposed SAMP Near-Term Projects

Purpose: Meet Forecasted Passenger Demand

A new Second Terminal would be constructed to provide the necessary facilities to meet the projected passenger demand at the Airport. The Second Terminal would include the following Primary Elements, Connected Actions, and Similar Actions:

• **A new surface parking lot** would accommodate increased demand for employee parking. The surface lot would be constructed on Port-owned property north of SR 518 (L06 – Employee Parking Surface Lot)

• **A new parking structure north of SR 518** would provide additional capacity to accommodate increased demand for employee parking. (L07 – Employee Parking Structure)

• **A new Centralized Receiving & Distribution Center** would be constructed on Port-owned property north of SR 518 to improve security and more efficiently screen and move supplies to concessionaires in the current and proposed future passenger terminals (S10 – Centralized Receiving & Distribution Center)
Various cargo-related projects would be constructed to provide the necessary facilities to meet the projected cargo demand at the Airport. These include the following Primary Elements, Connected Actions, and Similar Actions:

- **A new cargo aircraft parking area** would be constructed in the North Cargo area east of Taxiway A. (A08 – Hardstand (north))

- **The Cargo 4 South site would be redeveloped** to address poor existing building conditions and configuration, and a larger building would be constructed to serve future demand. (C01 – Cargo 4 South Redevelopment)

- **Two new cargo warehouse buildings** with truck access would be constructed on the Port-owned L-Shape property. No aircraft would utilize the L-Shape property because it is not located on the airfield. (C02 – Off-site Cargo Phase 1 (L-Shape) & C03 – Off-site Cargo Phase 2 (L-Shape))

- **The Port’s Aviation Maintenance Facility (AMF) would be relocated** from its current location in the North Cargo area to clear the site for construction of the A08 Hardstand (north) project. The AMF would be located on the west side of the airport in the West-side Maintenance Campus. (S07 – West-side Maintenance Campus)

- To accommodate displaced Ground Service Equipment (GSE) maintenance and aircraft maintenance functions from the United Airlines maintenance building and Swissport cargo facility, and aircraft maintenance functions from the United Airlines maintenance building, **two airline support buildings/expansions are planned.** The first is a new building located in the far northeast corner of the North Cargo area. The second is an expansion of the existing AMB/AFCO III building to the west. (S08 Airlines Support (north) & S09 – Airline Support (west)).
Proposed SAMP Near-Term Projects

Purpose: Comply With FAA Airfield Standards/Guidance

Various improvements would be constructed to comply with FAA standards and guidance. These include the following elements:

- **A runway blast pad** is a surface adjacent to a runway intended to provide erosion protection from aircraft jet blast. The existing blast pads on RWY 16R34L would be expanded to meet current standard dimensions. (A02 – Runway 16R-34L Blast Pads)

- **To provide the standard 500’ runway/taxiway separation**, Taxiway B would be moved to the east between Taxiway C (at the north end of the airfield) and the approximate location of the existing Taxiway L. Shifting Taxiway B would also result in Taxiway A being shifted east. (A04 – Taxiway B 500’ Separation & RIM Mitigation)

- **Fillets** are essentially rounded corners created with pavement and markings, and are used as part of taxiways to provide adequate distances between aircraft and the pavement edges. Fillets which do not meet current FAA standards would be improved when the fillet/area is in need of a reconstruction or impacted by another project. (A10 – Taxiway Fillets)
Proposed SAMP Near-Term Projects

Purpose: Improve Airfield Operational Efficiency

Various improvements would be constructed to enhance the operational efficiency of the Airport. These include the following elements:

- **Taxiway A would be extended south of Taxiway S** to provide a parallel taxiway to Taxiway B. This taxiway will help reduce delay by providing additional pavement for ATCT to sequence aircraft.

- **To facilitate the taxiway work, the Runway 34R glide slope antenna and shelter may need to be relocated** to the west side of Runway 16L-34R because it would be displaced by the Taxiway B construction. Additional work that may be required to facilitate the taxiway construction includes a new Vehicle Service Road bridge over S 188 Street. (A01 – Taxiway A/B Extension)

- **High-speed exits allow landing aircraft to exit the runway at relatively higher speeds, leading to less time on the runway. A new high-speed exit would be constructed** for Runway 34L arrivals between Taxiway J and Taxiway E. (A06 – Runway 34L High-speed Exit)

- **Taxiway D is currently a short taxiway between Runways 16C-34C and 16L-34R, and is used by aircraft waiting to take off from Runway 16C. This project would extend Taxiway D from Runway 16C-34C west to Taxiway T.** (A07 – Taxiway D Extension)
Proposed SAMP Near-Term Projects

Purpose: Provide Additional Fuel Capacity and Meet Port’s Sustainable Aviation Fuel Initiative

Various improvements would be constructed to enhance the Airport’s fueling capabilities. These include the following elements:

- **Expansion of the fuel farm** would include additional settling tank capacity and construction of infrastructure to support the Port’s Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) initiative. The addition of four settling tanks adding approximately 10 million gallons of storage capacity would require additional piping, expansion of the spill containment dike, and four above ground storage tanks. (S01 – Fuel Farm Expansion)
Additional NEPA EA Process

SAMP Near-Term Projects environmental review

- Formulation of Proposed Action and Reasonable Alternatives
- Background Data Collection
- FAA Determines Need for EA
- Preparation and Circulation of Draft EA
- Scoping
- Respond to Comments and Revise Draft EA
- Conduct Public Hearing
- FAA Determines Significance of Impacts
- If Impacts are Significant FAA Proceeds with EIS
  - If Impacts are NOT Significant FAA Prepares Final EA and Issues FONSI
NEPA Environmental Impact Categories

All to be considered in SAMP NTP Environmental Review

- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Climate
- Coastal Resources
- Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Resources
- Farmlands
- Hazardous Materials, Solid Waste, and Pollution Prevention
- Historical, Architectural, Archaeological, and Cultural Resources
- Land Use
- Natural Resources and Energy Supply
- Noise and Compatible Land Use
- Socioeconomics, Environmental Justice, and Children’s Environmental Health and Safety
- Visual Effects
- Water Resources
  - Floodplains
  - Groundwater
  - Surface Waters
  - Wetlands
  - Wild and Scenic Rivers
- Cumulative Impacts
SEPA EIS Process:
SAMP Near-Term Projects

1. Lead Agency - Issues Determination of Significance and Scoping Notice
2. Scoping Period - Review scoping comments
3. Background Data Collection
4. Formulation of Proposed Action and Reasonable Alternatives
5. Prepare and Distribute Draft EIS
6. Comment Period & Public Hearing
7. Respond to Comments - Revise EIS
8. Circulate Final EIS
9. Notice of Action Taken
## SEPA Elements of the Environment

All to be considered in SAMP NTP Environmental Review

### Natural environment

- **Earth**
  - Geology
  - Soils
  - Topography
  - Unique physical features
  - Erosion/enlargement of land area (accretion)

- **Air**
  - Air Quality
  - Odor
  - Climate

- **Water**
  - Surface water movement/quantity/quality
  - Runoff/absorption
  - Floods
  - Groundwater movement/quantity/quality
  - Public water supplies

### Plants and animals

- Habitat for and numbers or diversity of species of plants, fish, or other wildlife
- Unique species
- Fish or wildlife migration routes

### Energy and natural resources

- Amount required/rate of use/efficiency
- Source/availability
- Nonrenewable resources
- Conservation and renewable resources
- Scenic resources
## SEPA Elements of the Environment

All to be considered in SAMP NTP Environmental Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Built environment</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Environmental health</td>
<td>• Transportation systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Noise</td>
<td>• Vehicular traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk of explosion</td>
<td>• Waterborne, rail, and air traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Releases or potential releases to the environment affecting public health, such as toxic or hazardous materials</td>
<td>• Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Land and shoreline use</td>
<td>• Movement/circulation of people or goods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relationship to existing land use plans and to estimated population</td>
<td>• Traffic hazards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Housing</td>
<td>• Public services and utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Light and glare</td>
<td>• Fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aesthetics</td>
<td>• Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recreation</td>
<td>• Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Historic and cultural preservation</td>
<td>• Parks or other recreational facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Agricultural crops</td>
<td>• Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Water/stormwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sewer/solid waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other governmental services or utilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Land uses Adjacent to Sea-Tac

Study area boundaries to be developed for each resource category
### NEPA
**Air Quality and Climate**
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
- FAA Order 5050.4B, NEPA Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions
- FAA Order 1050.1F, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures
- Clean Air Act (1990 Amendments)
  42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671

### SEPA
**Air Quality and Climate**
- Washington Clean Air Act
- Puget Sound Clean Air Agency and permit requirements
Aircraft Noise

Regulations and Guidance

**NEPA/SEPA**

- FAA Order 1050.1F, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures
- FAA Order 5050.4B, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions
- 14 CFR Part 150 Land Use Compatibility Guidelines
  - Based on Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL)
  - Noise-sensitive uses are considered non-compatible at or above 65 DNL
  - Residential
  - Schools
  - Places of worship
  - Hospitals
  - Nursing homes
  - Daycare facilities where licensed education occurs
  - Libraries
- FAA provides methodology for preparing noise analyses and determining impacts
  - Required use of Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT)
  - Threshold for significant impacts is 1.5 DNL increase within a 65 DNL noise contour over noise sensitive land uses
Public Properties

Regulations and Guidance

NEPA/SEPA

- Department of Transportation Act, Section 4(f)
- Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965
- National Historic Preservation Act
- Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments
- Executive memorandum, Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments
## Water Resources

### Regulations and Guidance

### NEPA
- **Wetlands**
  - Clean Water Act
  - Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands
  - Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

### Floodplains
- Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management
- National Flood Insurance Act

### Surface Waters
- Clean Water Act
- Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
- Rivers and Harbors Act

### Ground Water
- Clean Water Act

### Coastal Resources
- Coastal Barrier Resources Act
- Coastal Zone Management Act
- National Marine Sanctuaries Act

### Wild and Scenic Rivers
- Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

### SEPA
- Washington Water Pollution Control Act
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit
- Growth Management Act
- Washington Hydraulic Code
Biological and Natural Resources

Regulations and Guidance

**NEPA/SEPA**

Biological Resources
- Clean Water Act
- Endangered Species Act
- Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
- Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
- Marine Mammal Protection Act
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act

Farmlands
- Farmland Protection Policy Act

Natural Resources and Energy Supply
- Energy Independence and Security Act
- Energy Policy Act

**SEPA**

Biological Resources
- Growth Management Act
- State Priority Habitats & Species
- Shoreline Management Act
- Forest Practices Act
**NEPA/SEPA**
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
- Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act
- Federal Facilities Compliance Act
- Hazardous Materials Transportation Act
- Oil Pollution Act
- Pollution Prevention Act
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- Toxic Substances Control Act
- Executive Order 12088, Federal Compliance with Pollution Control Standards
- Executive Order 12580, Superfund Implementations
- Executive Order 13423, Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management
- Executive Order 13514, Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance
- CEQ Memorandum on Pollution Prevention and the National Environmental Policy Act
Social and Community

Regulations and Guidance

**NEPA**

* Socioeconomics
  - Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisitions Policy Act

* Environmental Justice
  - Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
  - Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations

* Environmental Health
  - Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

**SEPA**

* Land Use and Building Permits
* Traffic and Transportation Approvals
NEPA
- FAA Order 1050.1F, Desk Reference
- Provides factors to consider when determining impacts
- Disrupt local traffic patterns and substantially reduce the levels of service of roads serving an airport and its surrounding communities

SEPA
- SEPA, Chapter 43.21C, RCW
- SEPA Guidelines and Rules, Chapter 197-11, WAC
- WSDOT guidelines and local guidelines.
  - Transportation operations guidelines from WSDOT and local agencies (Cities of Burien, SeaTac, and Des Moines) provide Operational standards and policies that determine levels of significance.
  - State and local transportation plans or comprehensive plans also provide guidance related to analysis of all transportation elements.
- SEPA, Chapter 36.70A, Washington Growth Management Act (GMA)
Anticipated NTP Environmental Review Schedule

Planning Open Houses 5/30, 6/14, 6/20

Environmental Analysis
Fall 2018 - Fall 2019

NEPA EA/SEPA EIS comment period
Fall 2019

2018

Agency & Public Scoping Process
• Start scoping process/notification: July 30

Agency Scoping Meeting
• September 6: Sea-Tac Airport

Public Scoping Meetings
• September 10: City of Des Moines
• September 12: City of Seattle (Beacon Hill)
• September 17: City of Federal Way
• September 19: City of SeaTac

• Scoping Process/Comment Period Ends September 28

Draft NEPA EA/SEPA EIS- Early Fall 2019

Final NEPA EA/SEPA EIS- Early Winter 2019

Winter 2019
• FAA NEPA Decision
• Port of Seattle SEPA Action
How to Comment

Scoping comments may be submitted via:

- SAMP Environmental Review website (Click “Participate”): www.SAMPNTPenvironmentalreview.org
- Email: SAMP@portseattle.org
- Regular mail to: Mr. Steve Rybolt
  Port of Seattle
  Aviation Environment and Sustainability
  P.O. Box 68727 Seattle, WA 98168

In person at a Scoping Meeting:
  - Submit written comments
  - Submit oral comments to court reporter

All comments must be submitted or postmarked by September 28, 2018.
Scoping Meetings

Scoping is an early and open process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed and for identifying the significant issues related to a proposed action.

Scoping meetings for the public will be held:

September 10, 2018  
City of Des Moines  
5:30 PM - 8:30 PM  
Highline College Student Union

September 12, 2018  
City of Seattle (Beacon Hill)  
5:30 PM - 8:30 PM  
New Holly Gathering Hall

September 17, 2018  
City of Federal Way  
5:30 PM - 8:30 PM  
Federal Way Community Center

September 19, 2018  
City of SeaTac  
5:30 PM - 8:30 PM  
SeaTac Community Center

At the scoping meetings information regarding the Proposed Action and the environmental review process will be available. For more information or for those unable to attend a Public Scoping Meeting, the same information will be available on the Port of Seattle’s website: www.SAMPNTPenvironmentalreview.org